

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HELAYNE SEIDMAN,

Plaintiff,

- against -

COX MEDIA GROUP, LLC

Defendant.

Docket No. 1:17-cv-9241

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Helayne Seidman (“Seidman” or “Plaintiff”) by and through her undersigned counsel, as and for her Complaint against Defendant Cox Media Group, LLC, (“Cox” or “Defendant”) hereby alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant’s unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of *Ciro Ortiz* a child who gave advice to others in the New York City subway, owned and registered by Seidman, a New York based professional photographer. Accordingly, Seidman seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides in and/or transacts business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

### **PARTIES**

5. Seidman is a professional photographer in the business of licensing her photographs to online and print media for a fee having a usual place of business at 16 St. Marks Place, Apt. 4B, New York, New York 10003.

6. Upon information and belief, Cox is a domestic limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 885 Second Avenue, New York, New York 10017. At all times material, hereto, Cox has owned and operated a website at the URL: [www.JesseJones.com](http://www.JesseJones.com) (the “Website”).

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

#### **A. Background and Plaintiff’s Ownership of the Photograph**

7. Seidman photographed Ciro Ortiz a child who gave advice to others in the New York City subway (the “Photograph”). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. Seidman then licensed the Photograph to the New York Post. On December 11 2016, the New York Post ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled *Subway riders are turning to this 11-year-old shrink for advice*. See <https://nypost.com/2016/12/11/subway-riders-are-turning-to-this-11-year-old-shrink-for-advice/>. Seidman’s name was featured in a gutter credit identifying her as the photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the Photograph in the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Seidman is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph is registered with the United States Copyright Office and was given registration number VA 2-037-048.

**B. Defendant's Infringing Activities**

11. On December 16, 2016, Cox ran an article on the Website entitled *11-year-old boy offers 'emotional advice' to subway patrons for \$2*. See <http://jessejones.com/story/11-year-old-boy-offers-emotional-advice-to-subway-patrons-for-2/>. The article prominently featured the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

12. Cox did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Cox have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST COX)**  
**(17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)**

14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-12 above.

15. Cox infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Cox is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

16. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

17. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Cox have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

18. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

19. Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages up to \$150,000 per work infringed for Defendant's willful infringement of the Photograph, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

20. Plaintiff further is entitled to her attorney's fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST COX**  
**(17 U.S.C. § 1202)**

22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-20 above.

23. Upon information and believe, Cox copied the photograph from the New York Post where there was a gutter credit underneath the Photograph stating "Helayne Seidman" which is considered contained copyright management information under 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

24. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Cox intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.

25. The conduct of Cox violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

26. Upon information and belief, Cox falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.

27. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Cox intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph. Cox also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph.

28. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Cox as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Cox the damages, that she sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Cox because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.

29. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Cox statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

1. That Defendant Cox be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
2. The Defendant Radio be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.
3. Plaintiff be awarded either: a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of up to \$150,000 per copyrighted work infringed pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;

4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:
  - a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at least \$2,500 and up to \$ 25,000 for each instance of false copyright management information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);
5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;
6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and
8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York  
November 25, 2017

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/Richard Liebowitz  
Richard P. Liebowitz  
11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305  
Valley Stream, New York  
Tel: 516-233-1660  
RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Helayne Seidman*